DO YOU KNOW ABOUT C.P.?

According to Brian Gerrish, Commander RN (Retd), and EU expert David Noakes **Common Purpose (C.P.)** is a sinister organisation (*government advisory body*) acting as a mechanism to filter Billions in paid taxes in to pro-European hands. Highly questionable schemes are worked out that put property, cash, or land in to the pockets of those who control public assets. This squandering of wealth is carried out via elaborately thought out politically correct proposals involving **'minority group'** projects, government approved quangos and questionable planning schemes such as **'Eco-Town'** developments and so on.

C.P. has amassed 81,000 trainees in 37 cities and 18,500 'graduates' giving it enormous power. C.P. recruits, then trains 'leaders' to be loyal to the objectives of Common Purpose and the EU instead of established British interests. Ultimately C.P.'s main objective is to bury democracy for good. To bring about this political change C.P. will begin by hindering the earning potential of the middle classes. Those with considerable financial commitments will be forced in to downgrading their lifestyles. In effect, this 'class' attack has already begun with the introduction of the now widely criticised - government approved 'HIPs' ending the vocation of many a skilled property surveyor. C.P. is exposed in a video presentation. Ref: http://www.veoh.com/videos/v1165968ctqm9ckt

C.P. is busy identifying 'suitable' people throughout all government departments to assume power when the EU rules the UK following the ratification of the Lisbon Treaty. Unlike normal leaders, C.P. 'leaders' are instructed on how to govern using autocratic rule. C.P. uses this dictatorial approach to force greater compliance in people whilst thwarting resistance with widely orchestrated waves of civil oppression *i.e.* fortnightly bin collections with hikes in council taxation. *Google search*: (*fortnightly bin collections - maggots*)

It is believed that C.P. has influenced the three mainstream political parties, the NHS, the BBC, the police, the military, the legal profession, many of Britain's 7,000 quangos, councils, civil service offices, town halls, fire departments, Parliament and Regional Development Agencies, insurance claim departments, solicitors, colleges, universities and our secondary schools.

C.P. graduate Janet Paraskeva is the UK's Law Society's Chief Executive Officer. A surprising number of lawyers are C.P. members. Is it a coincidence that justice is now more expensive, flawed and corrupt in the UK than ever before? Is it any surprise that our courts now refuse to uphold many of our civilian rights laws yet they are helping Labour to dissolve the Magna Carter in time for the final ratification of the widely despised *Lisbon Treaty?*

We are informed that C.P. is now close to controlling Plymouth City Council where it has undermined the democratic process. People in the locale who know about this have failed to expose the subversion owing to editors of local papers being C.P. people. C.P.'s cross departmental infrastructure led to the squandering of £800 million on the **Millennium Dome** project. It appears that £300m of this money was diverted into a web of quangos set up by the C.P organisation. There is a fraud case about this deliberately stalled in the UK courts.

C.P.'s infiltration of the legal profession appears to be near total as reports emerge that many hospital patients with clinical negligence claims against the NHS are being told by their 'recommended' solicitors and Legal Protection Litigators that they stand little, or **no chance of wining** in their 'professional' opinion. Clients are further informed that any gathering of medical notes / expert testimony will NOT be allowed under the 'no win no fee system'.

C.P. specialises in training graduates how to undermine effective and efficient government departments with an overwhelming influx of politically correct dialogue. The talk is of, 'empowering communities', 'vision', 'focus', 'mainstreaming', 'community cohesion', 'working partnerships', 'regeneration' and 'celebrating diversity' etc. Legislation then follows promoting change and reorganisation. Inevitably confusion rules, making life for employees difficult at best with managerial decisions on par with what appears on the surface to be *lunacy* (but is quite deliberate). *Ref:* http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/talking_point/1988952.stm

The NHS is C.P.'s most successful asset siphoning project to date. **Ref:** The £12 - £20 billion open contract awarded to an agency called Connecting for Health. This is a quango operation that is now six years behind its promise to computerise NHS patient records. When Labour ministers are quizzed over these delays and costs they keep insisting that the right company is undertaking this huge task and that the money awarded to them represents superb value. **N.B.** (The entire NHS budget for one year is £12 billion). **Ref:** www.telegraph.co.uk/news/migrationtemp/1521447/NHS-computer-scheme-under-fire.html

C.P. seeks out candidates that have power and influence over the control of public money. If an applicant has the 'right' attributes a C.P. Board suggests they attend a course. C.P. meetings are conducted under the secretive Chatham House Rules. *Ref:* http://www.chathamhouse.org.uk/about/chathamhouserule. Local government officers frequently hold unpublicised meetings with property developers who have connections with public quangos such as the UK's Regional Development Agency. This body has the power to award large sums of public money to politically correct projects. Parish and town councils are rarely, if ever, invited to attend such meetings so denying locals the right to raise valid objections. *Ref:* http://www.eastmidlandserdf.org.uk to gain an insight into the *doublespeak* used.

David Cameron is *pro*-EU and uses the language of Common Purpose when stating that "immigration" is essential to our British economy. Yet the house of Lords, through an in-depth study, has shown that the **NET** financial benefit of each immigrant residing in the UK works out to just £0.58p per week. Cameron has appointed Ken Clarke, the Tory MP for Rushcliffe (the biggest EU fan of all time), to oversee what the Conservatives call the "Democracy Taskforce Program" which is akin to leaving an **anaconda** to supervise a litter of puppies. **Ref:** http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk_politics/1409047.stm C.P. companies make identification easier to each other by ensuring their corporate branding follows a nature, or non-descript theme. Symbols such as nuts, trees, fruit, leaves, birds and so on are often chosen. The lack of any logo on a company website (often the first point of contact for many people these days) can in some cases be an indicator that they are C.P.

affiliated. Until you see a company letter head you cannot be sure. If suspicious don't be afraid to ask by phone or email about their 'odd' choice, or lack of business 'identity' before working with them. If you receive an evasive or unsatisfactory response or none at all, you may of stumbled upon C.P. operatives moonlighting as professionals.

Prospective C.P. candidates are booked in on a two-day 'residential course' where they are trained in a closed private environment such as a small hotel. Attendees are encouraged to reveal significant personal information, about themselves such as their 'likes', 'dislikes', 'ambitions' and 'dreams'. Course tutors then utilise 'control procedures' on the attendees. These methods have been likened to the 'Delphi technique' or the application of 'group psychology' and 'Cognitive Dissonance'. A typical story tells of the decline a man witnessed in his wife from the moment she had been indoctrinated as a C.P. graduate. He reported that her personality completely changed. She became anxious and unhappy which when discussed resulted in her filing for a divorce.

Unsurprisingly, only a small percentage of C.P. candidates relish their training. Most are left shaken by the betrayal expected of them but remain stoic throughout the duration of the course. When a delegate graduates from his or her training and fails to follow the goals set by C.P. leaders unpleasant things begin to happen. Women in particular are bullied and many are forced into resigning. An overwhelming number of post-attendees are left with feelings of acute paranoia and depression due to the subliminal teaching methods that were used upon them. Seasoned C.P. graduates are officious and militant in attitude toward those who have the 'temerity' to quiz the decisions they make. Indoctrinated graduates tend to lie about their involvement with the Common Purpose organisation and struggle to maintain eye contact when pushed harder about their participation. Some would like to talk but are fearful about doing so. George Orwell's famous quote seems rather apt - "In times of universal deceit telling the truth - is a revolutionary act".

When a piece of legislation is announced that's both void of common sense and detrimental to society you can be reasonably sure that C.P. is behind it. Common Purpose does not work alone. They are assisted by (14), UK tax-funded left wing think tanks, plus a plethora of well known sponsors such as **Vodafone** and the **FT**. The think tanks that feed C.P. its ideas draw their inspiration from a deep pool of communist ideology. A classic example of C.P. input came to light when they prompted Labour to introduce the highly divisive 'Equalities - Sexual Orientations act 2006 / 2007'.

This act ensures that teachers educate all children from the age of 4+ that a having a homosexual or lesbian relationship is a perfectly normal life choice. The act protects same sex couples (2% of the UK population) from discrimination and criticism by threatening those the establishment labels (homophobic) with lengthy jail terms. 99.9% of Christians view homosexuality as a sin in the eyes of God. When people refuse to assist in homosexual ceremonies (civil partnerships) they are often portrayed by the media as being un-Christian? Ref: http://www.thefirstpost.co.uk/people,1011,earl-of-devon-bans-gay-marriages,29938 But something else is also going on here. Whilst 'Christians' are made out to be the baddies in all this another minority group, the Muslims, have been elevated to the moral high ground. This was an unexpected 'bonus' for the establishment which occurred when an enraged Muslim community complained that the teaching of homosexuality to 'their children' with book titles such as the 'King and King' See: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/King_&_King was a desecration of the scripture in the Koran. The Islamic community made it quite clear that they would not tolerate the situation for a moment longer. Labour reacted swiftly and instructed schools that had an Islamic attendance to remove all 'Gay' curriculum materials immediately. The same respect and courtesy however was NOT extended to the indigenous English community the (majority). To split people further, The Lord Chief Justice Mr Philips has just given the Muslim Sharia law his backing.

This is a typical example of the devious and insidious way that C.P. goes about dividing the people of a nation. C.P. specialises in playing minorities against majorities - a variation on the 'divide and rule' concept. Strategies like this are designed to tug at the thin veneer of tolerance that helps to stop an enforced mixed race society from tearing itself apart. In Dec 2006 Tony Blair announced that the right balance had now been struck between 'integration and diversity' and that it was important to continue celebrating multiculturalism. Ref: http://www.number-10.gov.uk/output/Page10562.asp His insensitive advice was poorly received by grieving relatives trying to comprehend why their loved ones were taken from them during the 7th of July 2005 London bombings. Ref: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/7_July_2005_London_bombings

As a reliable rule of thumb whenever you hear one of the three C.P. influenced mainstream party politicians propose a change in public policy imagine the exact opposite of what is being suggested and you'll be a lot closer to the truth. *Ref*: New Labours' 1997 manifesto said they would do the following: "On crime, we believe in personal responsibility and in punishing crime, but also tackling its underlying causes - so, tough on crime, tough on the causes of crime, different from the Labour approach of the past and the Tory policy of today". *Ref*: http://www.psr.keele.ac.uk/area/uk/man/lab97.htm

Common Purpose, Brussels, our three mainstream British political parties and the USA share a common dream. They all want a one world government. If America, supported by Israel, either bomb or impose life crippling sanctions on Iran for continuing to enrich uranium Muslims the world over could declare Jihad a (*Holy War*) against the West. If this happens, the Gordon Brown's of this world are likely to use the widespread civil unrest that ensues as an excuse to introduce a police controlled state across the EU. Such a contract would most likely be given to Europol. *Ref*: http://www.europol.europa.eu

If you haven't already guessed it Common Purpose - *is communism*. Contrary to myth communism never died with the collapse of the USSR. Communism survived and is busy shaping every aspect of our lives. *E.g.* where you can and cannot smoke. C.P. is now telling employers to hire unskilled ethnic workers in preference to skilled white applicants at every opportunity that presents itself. *Ref.* http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk_politics/7474801.stm Common Purpose is behind the global warming and climate change scare stories that have far more to do with levying taxes against the common man than caring about the planet! *Ref.* http://www.infowars.net/articles/august2007/300807Warming.htm

Common Purpose was established in 1985 by Julia Middleton. She is currently promoting her latest book titled 'Beyond Authority' Ref: http://www.meettheauthor.co.uk/bookbites/1203.html. Julia's background makes for interesting reading. Ref. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Julia_Middleton. Q.> Do you still trust the establishment parties? Or is it time to vote differently..?